1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2	LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON CHAIRMAN
3	SANDRA D. KENNEDY COMMISSIONER
4	JUSTIN OLSON COMMISSIONER
5	ANNA TOVAR COMMISSIONER
6	JIM O'CONNOR COMMISSIONER
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF Docket No. WS-02987A-20-0025
8	EPCOR WATER ARIZONA, INC., SAN TAN WS-01303A-20-0025 WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICTS,
9	FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS WATER AND
10	WASTEWATER UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY, FOR INCREASES IN ITS
11	RATES AND CHARGES FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER UTILITY SERVICE, AND
12	FOR RELATED APPROVALS.
ا م	
13	
13	RUCO'S NOTICE OF FILING
	RUCO'S NOTICE OF FILING The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the
14	
14 15	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the
14 15 16	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the
14 15 16 17	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 th day of August, 2021.
14 15 16 17	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan.
14 115 116 117 118	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 th day of August, 2021. S/ Daniel W. Pozefsky Daniel W. Pozefsky
114 115 116 117 118 119	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 th day of August, 2021. S/ Daniel W. Pozefsky Daniel W. Pozefsky
114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221	The Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") hereby provides Notice of Filing the Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30 th day of August, 2021. S/ Daniel W. Pozefsky Daniel W. Pozefsky

1	E-filed this 30 th day of August, 2021 with:	
2	VESSION MAR	
3	https://efiling.azcc.gov Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington St.	
4	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
5	E-mailed/mailed this 30 th day of August, 2021 to	
6	Robert Metli	
7	Munger Chadwick & Denker, PLC 2999 N. 44 th St., Suite 130	Jeffrey Crockett Crockett Law Group, PLLC 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
8	Phoenix, AZ 85018 rjmetli@mcdplc.com Consented to Service by Email	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorney for Johnson Ownership Class jeff@jeffcrockettlaw.com
9	Robin Mitchell	Consented to Service by Email
10	Director & Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission	Albert Acken Jennings, Strouss & Salmon, P.L.C.
11	1200 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007 LegalDiv@azcc.gov	One East Washington Street, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for the Town of Florence
12	utildivservicebyemail@azcc.gov Consented to Service by Email	aacken@jsslaw.com daouad@jsslaw.com
13	Kevin Costello	Consented to Service by Email
14	Pinal County Attorney 30 North Florence Street	Scott Holcomb Dickinson Wright PLLC
15	Florence, Arizona 85132 Attorney for Pinal County	1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
16	Kevin.costello@pinalcountyaz.gov Kelly.pile@pinalcountyaz.gov Consented to Service by Email	Attorney for the Town of Queen Creek sholcomb@dickinsonwright.com Consented to Service by Email
	SAFE PRINCIPLE STATE MANAGEMENT CONTRACTOR OF THE PRINCIPLE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE PRINCIPLE STATE OF THE PRINCIPLE STATE OF THE PRINC	constitue to service by Linux
17	Michele Van Quathem Law Offices of Michele Van Quatham, PLLC 7600 North 15 th Street, Suite 150	
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85020 Attorney for Pulte Home Company, LLC	Bys/ Renee de la Fuente
19	mvq@mvqlaw.com Consented to Service by Email	Renee de la Fuente
20	Daniel W. Pozefsky	
21	RUCO 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
22	dpozefsky@azruco.gov procedural@azruco.gov	
23	mhightower@azruco.gov lwoodall@azruco.gov	
24	rdelafuente@azruco.gov Consented to Service by Email	

EPCOR WATER ARIZONA, INC. DOCKET NOS. WS-02987A-20-0025 AND WS-01303A-20-0025

RATE DESIGN TESTIMONY OF FRANK W. RADIGAN

ON BEHALF OF THE RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE

AUGUST 31, 2021

Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. – San Tan Water and Wastewater Districts Docket Nos. WS-02987A-20-0025 et al.

1 2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

	TABLE OF CONTENTS								
		Page							
١.	INTRODUCTION	1							
n.	WATER DISTRICT REVENUE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN	2							
III.	WASTEWATER DISTRICT REVENUE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN	5							
	EXHIBITS								
Wate	er Schedules H-1, H-2 and H-3	FWR-1							
Wast	tewater Schedules H-1, H-2 and H-3	FWR-2							

Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water and Wastewater Districts Docket Nos. WS-02987A-20-0025 et al.

17

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Company does not propose a realignment of rates for the Water District in accordance with the results of the cost of service study. RUCO believes if the results of the cost of service study are not to be relied upon, as the Company suggests, the revenue allocation should treat service class equally and increase the overall rates for the classes the same as the average for the District as a whole. As RUCO is recommending a 13.2% decrease for the Water District, each service class should receive a 13.2% decrease in rates.

For the rate design within a service class for the Water District, the Company proposes a less than average change in the monthly minimum charge with the remaining revenue requirement being collected via the volumetric charges. The Company provided no reasoning for its proposal. RUCO sees no basis for making any changes to the existing rate design if they are not based on sound cost of service study results. Accordingly, Mr. Radigan recommends that the minimum monthly charge and volumetric charges each be given a rate decrease of 13.2%.

For the Wastewater District the Company proposes to increase the rates for each service class and within each service class by the Wastewater District average increase in rates. The Company proposes reasoning for this proposal but for the same reasons discussed above, RUCO sees no basis for making any changes to the existing revenue allocation among service classes or to the rate design within a service class if they are not based on sound cost of service study results. Accordingly, Mr. Radigan recommends that the minimum monthly charge and volumetric charges each be given the RUCO recommended rate increase of 1.3%.

Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. – San Tan Water and Wastewater Districts

	Docke	t Nos. WS-02987A-20-0025 et al.
1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your full name, address, and occupation.
3	A.	My name is Frank W. Radigan. My business address is 235 Lark Street, Albany,
4		NY 12210.
5		
6	Q.	For whom are you appearing?
7	A.	I am testifying on behalf of the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO").
8		
9	Q.	Was this testimony prepared by you or under your direct supervision and
10		control?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13	Q.	Are you the same Frank Radigan who prepared direct testimony in this
14		docket?
15	A.	Yes. I provided testimony regarding RUCO's recommended revenue requirement
16		aspects of the case for the San Tan Water District and Wastewater District for
17		EPCOR in support as well as RUCO's sponsored standard filing requirement
18		Schedules "A" through "D" for both the Water District and Wastewater District.
19		
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
21	A.	This testimony addresses the revenue allocation and rate design aspects of the
22		case.
23		
24	Q.	What information did you review in conducting your analysis?
25	A.	I reviewed the Application and direct testimony of EPCOR, Company responses to

26

I reviewed the Application and direct testimony of EPCOR, Company responses to data requests, and public information.

Rate Design Testimony of Frank W. Radigan EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. – San Tan Water and Wastewater Districts Docket Nos. WS-02987A-20-0025 et al.

Q. Please summarize your recommendations.

A. RUCO makes the following recommendations:

For the Water District, 1) allocate the revenue change on an equal percentage basis to all service classes and 2) decrease the minimum monthly change and the volumetric charge equally for all service classes.

For the Wastewater District, adopt the Company's recommendations to 1) allocate the revenue change on an equal percentage basis to all service classes and 2) increase the minimum monthly change and the volumetric charge equally for all service classes.

II. WATER DISTRICT REVENUE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN

Q. Please discuss the Company's presentation regarding the water district.

A. The Company presented a cost of service study which shows that the Residential Service Class which is also the largest in terms of customers and revenues, by far, is earning a below average rate of return. The results of the cost of service study are presented in the Table 1 below.

Table 1

Service Class	Rate of Return at	Index Rate of Return
	Current Rates	Relative to Overall
	-1.5%	-1.1
Residential		
Commercial /	40.8%	31.2
Industrial		
Irrigation	-4.0	-3.1
Public Authority	105.9%	81.0
Standpipe /	169.3%	129.5
Construction	2000 120mma2 / 234 25 784	
Total	1.3%	1.0

Source: EPCOR Water Standard Filing Schedules, Schedule G-1

1

234

5

6

7

8

9 10 11

12 13

14

The Company also calculated what percentage increase it would take so all classes are earning the same relative profit for the Company with the results for that exercise presented in the Table 2 below.

Table 2

Service Class	Indicated % Increase Per Cost of Service Study Results
Residential	9.4%
Commercial / Industrial	-2.8%
Irrigation	10.7%
Public Authority	-16.9%
Standpipe / Construction	-26.0%
Total	8.7%

Source: EPCOR Water Standard Filing Schedules, Schedule G-1

The Company did not recommend using the results of the cost of service study to allocate the rate change as it believes that while the cost of service study is a useful tool in designing rates other considerations are factored into the design of rates (See Bourassa Direct at 21, lines 14-19). Table 3 below shows the Company's recommended increase for each service class.

Table 3

Commercial / Industrial	EPCOR Proposed Revenue Increase per Service Class
Residential	8.0%
Commercial / Industrial	9.6%
Irrigation	12.0%
Public Authority	9.4%
Standpipe / Construction	9/1%
Total	8.7%

Source: EPCOR Water Standard Filing Schedules, Schedule G-1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

For example, the commercial and public authority classes should be getting rate decreases per the results of the cost of service study but are instead receiving larger than average rate increases. Similarly, the Residential Service Class should be receiving a larger than average increase per the cost of service study but instead is receiving a less than average increase.

Q. What do you recommend?

A. As the Company does not propose a realignment of rates in accordance with the results of the costs of service study the revenue allocation should treat service class equally and increase the overall rates for the classes the same as the average for the District as a whole. As RUCO is recommending a 13.2% decrease for the Water District, each service class should receive a 13.2% decrease in rates. For the rate design within a service class, the Company provided no reasoning for its proposal to give different percentage increases to the minimum charge versus the volumetric

A review of the Company's workpapers shows that the real driving factor behind its

proposed revenue allocation is its proposed rate design where as 1) proposes to

increase the minimum monthly usage charge by 3.8% each meter size in each

service class and 2) proposes to increase each volumetric usage charge for each

service class by 15%. As different service classes have different average

consumption of gallons used per customer and different mixes of how much

revenues are collected via the minimum charge and how much via the volumetric

charge, the classes with most of the revenues being collected though the minimum

charge getting a less than average increase in bills, i.e. the residential class, and

classes with most of the revenues being collected through the volumetric

charge getting a larger than average increase, i.e. the irrigation class. This

proposed rate design results therefore in counter intuitive results at times.

 charge, I see no basis for making any changes to the existing rate design. As such I recommend that the minimum monthly charge and volumetric charges each be given a rate decrease of 13.2%. My proposed rates are attached as Rate Design Exhibit FWR-1.

Could you please discuss the Company's proposed revenue allocation and

III. WASTEWATER DISTRICT REVENUE ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN

7 8

Q.

rate design?

A. Yes, for the Wastewater District, the Company's supplemental filing is seeking an increase in rates of \$5,3542,172 which is a 27.5% increase in overall rates (See Updated Application at 2). Company witness Bourassa proposes to recover this increase by increasing the rates for each service class equally by 28.1%¹ (See EPCOR Wastewater Standard Filing Requirement Supplemental Schedule H-2). The Company provides no testimony or reasoning for its proposal (See Bourassa Direct at page 23, lines 16-18).

Q. What do you recommend?

A. As the Company does not propose a realignment of rates in accordance with the results of the costs of service study the revenue allocation should treat service class equally and increase the overall rates for the classes the same as the average for the District as a whole. As RUCO is recommending a 1.3% increase for the Wastewater District each service class should receive a 1.3% increase in rates. For the rate design within a service class, the Company does not seek to change to the existing rate design. As such, I see no basis for making any changes to the existing

¹ The charges for customers taking effluent are not increasing as they are contract rates so the rates for customers taking service under the utility's tariff have to increase at a rate slightly above the overall average increase.

rate design. As such I recommend that the minimum monthly charge and volumetric charges each be increased by 1.3%. My proposed rates are attached as Rate Design Exhibit FWR-2.

4

- Q. Does this conclude your Rate Design testimony at this time?
- A. Yes, it does.

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Revenue Summary at Present and Proposed Rates

RUCO Recommended Revenue Increase

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

Line	Meter Size	Olasa		Present		Proposed Revenues		Dollar	Percent	
No.	3/4 Inch	<u>Class</u> Residential		8,835,866		7.608.083	\$	Change	<u>Change</u> -13.90%	
1	1 Inch	Residential	\$	333,211	\$	286.872	Ф	(1,227,783)		
2		\$40.000 E. O. C.						(46,339)	-13.91%	
3	1.5 Inch	Residential		2,867		2,468		(398)	-13.90%	
2 3 4 5 6 7	2 Inch	Residential	-	9,187		7,910		(1,277)	-13.90%	
5		Subtotal		9,181,131		7,905,334		(1,275,797)	-13.90%	
6		200 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	-	***************************************	C-400		2400	(0.00)		
1	3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	6,919	\$	5,958	\$	(962)	-13.90%	
8	1 Inch	Commercial		13,091		11,270		(1,820)	-13.91%	
9	1.5 Inch	Commercial		41,033		35,330		(5,703)	-13,90%	
10	2 Inch	Commercial		169,954		146,328		(23,626)	-13.90%	
11	3 Inch	Commercial		14,669		12,630		(2,039)	-13.90%	
12	4 Inch	Commercial		3,896		3,354		(541)	-13.90%	
13	6 Inch	Commercial		16,059		13,827		(2,232)	-13.90%	
14										
15		Subtotal	\$	265,621	\$	228,697	\$	(36,924)	-13.90%	,
16								WASESCH		
17	3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$	52,846	\$	45,510	\$	(7.336)	-13.88%	
18	1 Inch	Irrigation		126,633		109,042		(17,591)	-13.89%	
19	1.5 Inch	Irrigation		568,807		489,777		(79,031)	-13.89%	
20	2 Inch	Irrigation		863,704		743,688		(120,017)	-13.90%	
21	3 Inch	Irrigation		28,882		24,858		(4,024)	-13.93%	
22	4 Inch	Irrigation		84,037		72,359		(11,678)	-13.90%	
23	6 Inch	Irrigation		51,106		44,007		(7,099)	-13.89%	
24	5 111011	Subtotal	\$	1,776,016	\$	1,529,240	\$	(246,776)	-13.89%	
25		Sociolar	94	1,770,010		1,020,210	Ψ	(240,770)	10.0070	
26	1.5 Inch	Public Authority		15,983		13,761		(2,222)	-13.90%	
27	2 Inch	Public Authority		25,046		21,564		(3,482)	-13.90%	
28	3 Inch	Public Authority		16,923		14,571		(2,352)	-13.90%	
29	4 Inch	Public Authority		25,319		21,800		(3,519)	-13.90%	
30	6 Inch	Public Authority		21,270		18,313		(2,957)	-13.90%	
31	O IIICII	Subtotal	Φ.	104,540	\$	90,009	\$	(14,531)	-13.90%	
32		Subiolai	\$	104,540	Φ	90,009	Φ	(14,551)	-13.90%	
02	0/4 (NOON CONSTRUCT		010		101		(00)		
33	3/4 Inch	Industrial		210		181	rh.	(29)		
34	1 Inch	Industrial		327		281	\$	(45)		
35	2 Inch	Industrial	-	4,738	-	4,079		(659)	7472557	
36		Subtotal	\$	5,275	\$	4,541	\$	(733)	-13.90%	
37			· .				·			
38	Sec. 1867.1867	Standpipe	\$	9,783	\$	8,423	\$	(1,360)	-13.90%	
39	3 Inch	Construction		112,493		96,858		(15,635)	-13.90%	
40										
	Total Revenues	Before Annualization		11,454,859		9,863,102		(1,591,757)	-13.90%	
42			-					400	<u> </u>	

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Revenue Summary

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 2 Witness: Radigan

RUCO Recommended Revenue Increase

Revenue Annualization

100	Meter	01		Present		Proposed		Dollar	Percent	
No.	Size 3/4 Inch	<u>Class</u> Residential		Revenues		Revenues	•	Change (01 050)	Change 12.000/	
1			\$	152,987 21,022	\$	131,729	\$	(21,258)	-13.90%	
2	1 Inch	Residential				18,098		(2,924)	-13.91%	
3	1.5 Inch	Residential		63		54 767		(9)	-13.90%	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2 Inch	Residential		890		767		(124)	-13.90%	
6		Subtotal	\$	174,963	\$	150,649	\$	(24,314)	-13.90%	
7		Cobiotal	**	17 1,000	•	100,010		(24,014)	10.0070	
8	3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	(307)	\$	(265)	\$	43	0.00%	
9	1 Inch	Commercial		553		476		(77)	-13.91%	
10	1.5 Inch	Commercial		(454)		(391)		63	0.00%	
11	2 Inch	Commercial		2,288		1,970		(318)	-13.90%	
12	3 Inch	Commercial		12 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		2007			0.00%	
13	4 Inch	Commercial		220		12		26	0.00%	
14	6 Inch	Commercial		926				7.0	0.00%	
15		Subtotal	\$	2,079	\$	1,790	\$	(289)	-13.90%	Fig. 1
16										
17										
18	3/4 Inch	Irrigation	\$	2,344	\$	2,019	\$	(325)	-13.88%	
19	1 Inch	Irrigation		2,250		1,938		(313)	-13.89%	
20	1.5 Inch	Irrigation		(419)		(361)		58	0.00%	
21	2 Inch	Irrigation		2,884		2,483		(401)	-13.90%	
22	3 Inch	Irrigation		(4)		35 ₃₄		40 <u>=0</u> 60	0.00%	
23	4 Inch	Irrigation		F26		13		¥6	0.00%	
24	6 Inch	Irrigation		3		3		-	0.00%	2
25 26		Subtotal	\$	7,060	\$	6,079		(980)	-13,89%	To-
27	1.5 Inch	Public Authority		990		12		26	0.00%	
28	2 Inch	Public Authority		-		- 2		29	0.00%	
29	3 Inch	Public Authority		(72)		72		2	0.00%	
30	4 Inch	Public Authority		2.93		2		75	0.00%	
31	6 Inch	Public Authority		19 1 0		~			0.00%	
32	5 11101.1	Subtotal	\$	EWE:	\$	- 2	\$	¥1	0.00%	
33		outou.	(30)						3.50	
34	3/4 Inch	Industrial	\$	020	\$	\€	\$	20	0.00%	
35	1 Inch	Industrial	ane:	V.75	100,450		535715	700	0.00%	
36	2 Inch	Industrial		888				5	0.00%	
37		Subtotal	\$	S -9 3	\$	Ŋ.	\$	#3	0.00%	
38 39	Total Revenue	Annualization	\$	184,102	\$	158,518	\$	(25,584)	-13.90%	
		AND THE PARTY OF T	- A-1	1.00	0.00		(ME)(2)	(11)		

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District

Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Revenue Summary Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 3 Witness: Radigan

RUCO Recommended Revenue Increase

35 36 37

Line			Present		Proposed		Dollar	Percent	
No.			Revenues		Revenues		<u>Change</u>	Change	
1	Subtotal Metered Revenues	\$		\$	9,863,102	\$	(1,591,757)	-13.90%	
2	Usage Normalization Adjustment		(141,025)		(171,983)		(30,958)	21.95%	
3	Subtotal Revenue Annualization	200	184,102	.,	158,518	-01	(25,584)	(0)	
4	Total Metered Revenues	\$	11,497,936	\$	11,497,936	\$	(1,648,298)	-14.34%	
5									
6	Misc. Revenues	\$	505,330	\$	505,330		*	0.00%	
7	Reconciling Amount to GL	0.0	145	707.0	50101000		22	0.00%	
8	Total Water Revenues	\$	12,003,265	\$	12,003,265	\$	(1,588,822)	-13.24%	
9									
10									
11	Reconciliation of Revenues								
12	Revenues per GL	\$	11,308,043						
13	Billing Corrections (reflected on C-1)	ASIC	146,816						
14	Other Adjustments		155W7MEXILTER						
15	Adjusted GL Revenues	\$	11,454,859	5					
16	SNISTRICE ESTABLISHED	1.15							
17	Revenues before Annualization	\$	11,454,859						
18	Difference	\$	0	2					
19		35							
20	Tolerance %								
21	Tolerance Amount + or -	\$	5-93						
22		750							
23	Acceptable?		NO						
24	Calabory (1997) - 1995 - 19								
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									
32									
32 33									
34									
04									

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District

Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Customer Summary Exhibit Supplementak Schedule H-2 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

RUCO Recommended Revenue Increase

(a) Average Number of Customers

			Number of			100 2 00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	********* *			Desperation of the	■ National of the entry
1000000			Customers			Avera				Proposed	
Line		Matau Class Class	at	Average		Present	10	Proposed		Dollar	Percent
No.	0/4 1-21-	Meter Size, Class	8/31/2019	Consumption		Rates		Rates	•	Amount	Amount
1	3/4 Inch	Residential	26,628	5,685	\$	27.05	\$	23.29	\$	(3.76)	-13.90%
2	1 Inch	Residential	523	11,797		52.60		45.29		(7.32)	-13.91%
3	1.5 Inch	Residential	3	12,758		81.91		70.53		(11.38)	-13.90%
4	2 Inch	Residential	3	82,470		264.48		227.71		(36.77)	-13.90%
5		Subtotal	27,156	5,811							
6 7	CARREST METROLOGICA	VIOLEN VIOLENCE CONTROL CONTROL	20-A-11	TO THE YEAR ON THE	10001	and the second		WATER OF THE PARTY		America Control	200404744447
	3/4 Inch	Commercial	10	16,408	\$	53.90	\$	46.41	\$	(7.49)	-13.90%
8	1 Inch	Commercial	18	14,592		58.61		50.46		(8.15)	-13.91%
9	1.5 Inch	Commercial	21	47,188		155.93		134.26		(21.67)	-13.90%
10	2 Inch	Commercial	57	71,813		241.57		207.99		(33.58)	-13.90%
11	3 Inch	Commercial	1	464,579		1,218.25		1,048.92		(169.33)	-13.90%
12	4 Inch	Commercial	1	24,292		324.63		279.51		(45.12)	-13.90%
13	6 Inch	Commercial	2	57,834		669.13		576.12		(93.01)	-13.90%
14		Subtotal	111	55,375							
15											
16	3/4 Inch	Irrigation	97	12,230	\$	43.43	\$	37.40	\$	(6.03)	-13.88%
17	1 Inch	Irrigation	102	32,480		97.24		83.74		(13.51)	-13.89%
18	1.5 Inch	Irrigation	162	101,561		277.66		239.09		(38.58)	-13.89%
19	2 Inch	Irrigation	124	209,862		557.55		480.08		(77.47)	-13.90%
20	3 Inch	Irrigation	3	276,139		768.40		661.33		(107.07)	-13.93%
21	4 Inch	Irrigation	3	878,083		2,278.86		1,962.18		(316.68)	-13.90%
22	6 Inch	Irrigation	2	691,313		2,031.11		1,748.97		(282.14)	-13.89%
23		Subtotal	493	105,032						76. 53	
24											
25	1.5 Inch	Public Authority	4	115,615		312.88		269.40		(43.49)	-13.90%
26	2 Inch	Public Authority	15	24,107		139.00		119.68		(19.32)	-13.90%
27	3 Inch	Public Authority	4	79,042		344.64		296.74		(47.90)	-13.90%
28	4 Inch	Public Authority	4 4	116,959		523.86		451.05		(72.81)	-13.90%
29	6 Inch	Public Authority	2	158,813		886.24		763.05		(123.19)	-13.90%
30		Subtotal	29	66,403						1 Nov 25 12 15 16	
31				ND/WATERST!							
32	3/4 Inch	Industrial	1	542		17.51		15.07		(2.43)	-13.89%
33	1 Inch	Industrial	1	200		27.24		23.45		(3.79)	-13.91%
34	2 Inch	Industrial	3	20,667		131.60		113.31		(18.30)	-13.90%
35		Subtotal	5	12,509							
36		\$1000 CO		11111111111							
37		Standpipe	1	325,325	\$	815.26	\$	701.94		(113.32)	-13.90%
38	3 Inch	Construction	29	60,026		325.13		279.94		(45.19)	-13.90%
39	G mon	Condition	30	68,919		020.10		2,0.01		(10.10)	10.0010
40			00	00,010							
41											
42											
43											
44		Total	27,824								
45		Se Totalist	ZEJOZA								
64.1											

⁽a) Average number of customers of less than one (1), indicates that less than 12 bills were issued during the year.

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Present and RUCO Proposed Rates

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-3 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

Line	■ 10+503 × 10 × 10 × 10 × 10000000 × 10 × 10 ×			Present		Proposed		VIANGE NEED IV	Percent	
No.	Monthly Usage Charge for:			Rates		Rates		Change	Change	
1	Meter Size (All Classes):		•	40.00	*	0.00	m	(4 EQ)	40.00/	
2	5/8x3/4 Inch		\$	10.90	\$	9.38	\$	(1.52)	-13.9%	
2	3/4 Inch - Residential only			16.34		14.07		(2.27)	-13.9%	
3	3/4 Inch			16.34		14.07		(2.27)	-13.9%	
3	1 Inch - Residential only			27.24		23.45		(3.79)	-13.9%	
4	1 Inch			27.24		23.45		(3.79)	-13.9%	
4	1 1/2 Inch			54.48		46.91		(7.57)	-13.9%	
5	2 Inch			87.17		75.05		(12.12)	-13.9%	
6	3 Inch			174.70		150.42		(24.28)	-13.9%	
7	4 Inch			272.40		234.54		(37.86)	-13.9%	
8	6 Inch			544.79		469.06		(75.73)	-13.9%	
9	8 Inch			901.30		776.02		(125.28)	-13.9%	
10	10 Inch			1,295.61		1,115.52		(180.09)	-13.9%	
11										
12										
13	Construction - Hydrants		\$	19 7 5	\$	1 may 20 18				
14	Standpipe			NT	S	See Testimony				
15										
16										
17	Gallons In Minimum (All Meter Sizes and Classe	es)		173						
18						(Per 1,00	0 ga	ıllons)		
19	Commodity Rates					Present		Proposed		Percent
20	(Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block				Rate		Rate	Change	Change
21		Chemical Control								
22										
23	5/8x3/4 Inch and 3/4 Inch Meter - Residential	1 gallons to 4,000	gallo	ns	\$	1.771	\$	1.525	\$ (0.25)	-13.9%
24		4,001 gallons to 1	0,000	gallons	\$ \$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
25		over 10,000 gallor		15	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
26		16 S			20				10.72	
27										
28	5/8x3/4 Inch and 3/4 Inch Meter - Com., Irr.	1 gallons to 10,00	0 gall	ons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
29	Maria Mila Maria Andrews Maria Ma	over 10,000 gallor		80.00	\$	2.506		2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
30		oron nonoco gamo.	1920		36		0.70	100100	(0.00)	20100000
31										
32	1 Inch Meter - All Classes	1 gallons to 32,00	nall	ons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
33	i monthological oldosos:	over 32,000 gallor		OHO:	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
34		Over oz,000 gallo	10		\$	2.500	Φ	2,130	(0.55)	13.376
35	NT = No Tariff									

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Present and RUCO Proposed Rates

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-3 Page 2 Witness: Radigan

Line								
No. 1				(Per 1,00	O colle	200		
2	Commodity Rates			Present		oposed		
2	(Residential, Commercial, Industrial)	Block		Rate		Rate		
4	1.5 Inch Meter - All Classes	1 gallons to 88,000 gallons	4	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
5	1.0 Inch Weter - All Oldases	over 88,000 gallons	\$ \$	2.506		2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
6		over oo,ooo ganons	Ψ	2.500	Ψ	2.130	(0.55)	10.076
4 5 6 7	2 Inch Meter - All Classes	1 gallons to 156,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
8		over 156,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2,158	(0.35)	-13.9%
8 9 10			587		Virtis	13220019395070	MOREOGRAGIE	
10	3 Inch Meter -All Classes	1 gallons to 339,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
11		over 339,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
12		₹la Sk					- 12 /Z	
13	4 Inch Meter- All Classes	1 gallons to 545,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
14		over 545,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
15		(ACC SECT SECTIONS NAMED IN SECTION SE	33)				(Albertaly)	
16	6 Inch Meter - All Classes	1 gallons to 1,120,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
17		over 1,120,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
18							16 98	
19	8 Inch Meter - All Classes	1 gallons to 1,800,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
20		over 1,800,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
21		381 (74.5 20) (-11 (35.6 657 76.4 11 (3-4.36) 346) ⁻² (3600 (36) C.C.) (11	910		0.000			
22	10 Inch Meter - All Classes	0 gallons to 2,600,000 gallons	\$	2.150	\$	1.851	(0.30)	-13.9%
23		over 2,600,000 gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
24		\$50					35 57	
25	Construction- Hydrants	All gallons	\$	2.506	\$	2.158	(0.35)	-13.9%
26	EMBRITAN PROCESSOR OF THE PROCESSOR							
27	Standpipe	All gallons		NT	\$	2.158		
28								
29	Central Arizona Project Water		See	Tariff	See 7	ariff		
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35	NT = No Tariff							

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Water District

Changes in Representative Rate Schedules Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-3 Page 3 Witness: Radigan

RUCO Proposed

Line					
No.					
1					
2		Present	Proposed		Percent
3	Other Service Charges	Rates	<u>Rates</u>	Change	<u>Change</u>
4	Establishment	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00	\$0.00	0%
5	Establishment (After Hours)	\$ 40.00	remove		
6	Reconnection (Deliquent)	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$0.00	0%
7	Reconnection (Deliquent and After Hours)	N/A	N/A		
8	Meter Test	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00	\$0.00	0%
9	Deposit Requirement (Residential)	(a)	(a)		
10	Deposit Requirement (Non-Residential Meter)	(a)	(a)		
11	Deposit Interest (b)	(b)	(b)		
12	Re-Establishment (With-in 12 Months)	(c)	(c)		
13	Re-Establishment (After Hours)	(c)	(c)		
14	NSF Check	\$ 15.00	\$ 25.00	\$0.00	0%
15	Deferred Payment, Per Month	1.5%	1.5%		
16	Meter Re-Read	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.00	\$0.00	0%
17	Charge of Moving Customer Meter -				
18	Customer Requested per Rule R14-2-405B	Cost	Cost		
19	After hours service charge, per Rule R14-2-403D	Refer to Above	\$ 40.00		
		Charges			
20	Late Charge per month	1.5%	1.5%	\$0.00	0%
21	Off-site Facilities Hook-up Fee (See H-3, page 5)	(d)	(d)		

24 (a) Residential - two times the average bill. Non-residential - two and one-half times the maximum monthly bill.

25 (b) Interest per Rule R14-2-403(B).

26 (c) Minimum charge times number months off the system. per Rule R14-2-403(D).

(d) New water installations. May be assessed only once per parcel, service connection, or lot within a subdivision. Purpose is to equitably apportion the costs of constructing additional off-site facilities to provide water production, delivery, storage, and presssure among all new service connections.

31 32 33

29

30

22 23

IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTILITY WILL COLLECT FROM

35 ITS CUSTOMERS A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE TAX. PER COMMISSION RULE 14-2-409D(5).

37 ALL ADVANCES AND/OR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LABOR, MATERIALS, OVERHEADS,

B AND ALL APPLICABLE TAXES, INCLUDING ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE.

All advances and/or contributions are to include labor, materials and parts, overheads and all applicable taxes. including all gross-up taxes, if applicable.

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District
Test Year Ended August 31, 2019
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers

Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

Exhibit

RUCO - Recommended Revenue Increase

1 5/8x 2 3 3 1 4 1	Size K3/4 Inch K4 Inch I Inch 5 Inch 2 Inch	Class Residential Residential Residential Residential Residential	\$	Revenues 34,160 17,824,338	\$	Revenues 34,603	\$ Change 443	Change 1.30%
1 5/8x 2 3/3 4 1	/4 Inch I Inch .5 Inch	Residential Residential	\$	17,824,338		34,603	\$ 443	1 30%
2 3/ 3 1	l Inch .5 Inch	Residential			•			1.0070
3 1	.5 Inch				\$	18,055,717	231,378	1.30%
4 1		Residential		69,865	\$	70,772	907	1.30%
E (2 Inch			735	\$	745	10	1.30%
0 4		Residential		1,185	\$	1,201	15	1.30%
6								
7		Subtotal		17,930,284		18,163,037	232,754	1.30%
8								
9 3	4 Inch	Commercial	\$	7,181	\$	7,274	93	1.30%
10	1 Inch	Commercial		11,923	\$	12,078	155	1.30%
11 1	.5 Inch	Commercial		16,234	\$	16,445	211	1.30%
12 2	2 Inch	Commercial		87,313	\$	88,446	1,133	1.30%
13	3 Inch	Commercial		9,419	\$	9,541	122	1.30%
14	1 Inch	Commercial		27,124	\$	27,476	352	1.30%
15 6	6 Inch	Commercial		12,270	\$	12,430	159	1.30%
16		Subtotal	\$	171,464	\$	173,690	\$ 2,226	1.30%
17			5000			1200 1200 1000 1000 1000		
18 1	.5 Inch	Public Authority	\$	2,205	\$	2,234	\$ 29	1.30%
19 2	2 Inch	Public Authority		14,223	\$	14,408	185	1.30%
20	3 Inch	Public Authority		14,129	\$	14,312	183	1.30%
21	1 Inch	Public Authority		34,659	\$	35,109	450	1.30%
22	3 Inch	Public Authority		25,563	\$	25,895	332	1.30%
23		Subtotal	\$	90,779	\$	91,957	1,178	1.30%
24						399 M. 198 M. 198 M. 198 M.		
25		Effluent	\$	481,036	\$	487,281	6,244	1.30%
26								
27								
	l Revenues E	Before Annualization	\$	18,673,563	\$	18,915,965	\$ 242,402	1.30%
29								

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District
Test Year Ended August 31, 2019
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 2 Witness: Radigan

RUCO - Recommended Revenue Increase

Line No.									
					1	Revenue Anni	uali	ization	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7					į.			7.	
3	Meter		3	Present		Proposed		Dollar	Percent
4	Size	Class	R	evenues		Revenues		Change	Change
5	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	\$	72	\$	73		1	1.30%
6	3/4 Inch	Residential		316,785	\$	320,897		4,112	1.30%
7	1 Inch	Residential		10,544	\$	10,681		137	1.30%
8	1.5 Inch	Residential		3.000 B				12/4	0.00%
9	2 Inch	Residential		20		益		140	0.00%
10									
11		Subtotal	\$	327,401	\$	331,651	\$	4,250	1.30%
12									
13	3/4 Inch	Commercial	\$	(118)	\$	(119)		(2)	0.00%
14	1 Inch	Commercial		493	\$	499		6	1.30%
15	1.5 Inch	Commercial		(61)	\$	(62)		(1)	0.00%
16	2 Inch	Commercial		395	\$	400		5	1.30%
17	3 Inch	Commercial		2		9		(m)	0.00%
18	4 Inch	Commercial		Ħ		無			0.00%
19	6 Inch	Commercial	1000mm			<u> </u>		3	0.00%
20		Subtotal	\$	709	\$	718	\$	9	1.30%
21									
22	1.5 Inch	Public Authority		53		2		# # 6	0.00%
23	2 Inch	Public Authority		22		2		323	0.00%
24	3 Inch	Public Authority		监		A		•	0.00%
25	4 Inch	Public Authority		1,507	\$	1,526		20	1.30%
26	6 Inch	Public Authority	W					123	0.00%
27		Subtotal	\$	1,507	\$	1,526	\$	20	1.30%
28									
29									
30									
31	Total Revenue A	Annualization	\$	329,616	\$	333,895	\$	4,279	1.30%
32			-						

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District

Test Year Ended August 31, 2019
Revenue Summary
With Annualized Revenues to Year End Number of Customers

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-1 Page 3 Witness: Radigan

RUCO - Recommended Revenue Increase

Line								
<u>No.</u>			Present		Proposed		Dollar	Percent
2		Revenues			Revenues		Change	Change
3	Subtotal Wastewater Revenues	\$	18,673,563	\$	18,915,965	\$	242,402	1.30%
4	Subtotal Revenue Annualization	•	329,616	•	333,895	_	4,279	1.30%
5	Total Revenues	\$	19,003,179	\$	19,249,860	\$	246,681	1.30%
6							•	
7	Misc. Revenues	\$	429,743	\$	429,743		-	0.00%
8	Reconciling Amount (Tolerance)		-		-		-	0.00%
9	Total Wastewater Revenues	\$	19,432,922	\$	19,679,603	\$	246,681	1.27%
10	-							
11								
12	Revenue Reconciliation							
13	Revenues per Bill Count before Anualizat	ion				\$	18,673,563	
14	Revenue Per GL			\$	18,596,119			
15	Billing Corrections (reflected on C-1)				77,444			
16								
17	Adjusted GL Revenues					\$	18,673,563	
18	Difference					\$	-	
19								
20	Difference %						0.00%	
21	Tolerance %						0.00%	
22	Tolerance Amount + or -					\$	-	
23								
24	Acceptable?						YES	
25								

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District

Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Customer Summary Exhibit Supplmental Schedule H-2 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

RUCO Proposed Rates

(a) Average Number of

			Number of		Augus	F	im	В	wanaaad l	
Line			<u>Customers</u> at		Average Present	7	roposed		<u>roposed l</u> Dollar	Percent
No.		Meter Size, Class	8/31/2019		Rates	Rates			mount	Amount
1	5/8x3/4 Inch	Residential	79	\$	36.11	\$	36.58	\$	0.47	1.30%
2	3/4 Inch	Residential	37,853	(* ** 00	39.24	\$	39.75	\$	0.51	1.30%
3	1 Inch	Residential	118		49.27	\$	49.91	\$	0.64	1.30%
4	1.5 Inch	Residential	1		=		¥		(2	0.00%
5	2 Inch	Residential	1		*		=		18 5	0.00%
6 7		Subtotal	37,973							
	3/4 Inch	Commercial	15	\$	39.24	\$	39.75		0.51	1.30%
8 9	1 Inch	Commercial	20	13863	49.27	\$	49.91		0.64	1.30%
10	1.5 Inch	Commercial	22		61.26	\$	62.06		0.80	1.30%
11	2 Inch	Commercial	74		98.77	\$	100.05		1.28	1.30%
12	3 Inch	Commercial			392.46	\$	397.55		5.09	1.30%
13	4 Inch	Commercial	2 3		753.45	\$	763.23		9.78	1.30%
14	6 Inch	Commercial	1		1,022.52	\$	1,035.79		13.27	1.30%
15		Subtotal	137							
16			(E) 73							
17	1.5 Inch	Public Authority	177.0	\$	54	\$	51	\$	37	0.00%
18	2 Inch	Public Authority	140		99	\$	100.05		1.28	1.30%
19	3 Inch	Public Authority	3		392	\$	397.55		5.09	1.30%
20	4 Inch	Public Authority	4		753	\$	763.23		9.78	1.30%
21	6 Inch	Public Authority	4 2		1,023	\$	1,035.79		13.27	1.30%
22		Subtotal	9							
23										
24										
25		Total	38,120							
26			æ							

^{26 27 (}a) Average number of customers of less than one (1), indicates that less than 12 bills were issued during the year.

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District
Test Year Ended August 31, 2019
RUCO Present and Proposed Rates

Exhibit Supplemental Schedule H-3 Page 1 Witness: Radigan

Line <u>No.</u> 1	Monthly Usage Charge for: All Classes	Present <u>Rates</u>	F	Proposed <u>Rates</u>	Percent <u>Change</u>
2	5/8 Inch	\$ 36.11	\$	36.58	1.30%
1	3/4 Inch	39.24		39.75	1.30%
2	1 Inch	49.27		49.91	1.30%
3	1 1/2 Inch	61.26		62.06	1.31%
4	2 Inch	98.77		100.05	1.30%
5	3 Inch	392.46		397.55	1.30%
6	4 Inch	753.45		763.23	1.30%
7	6 Inch	1,022.52		1,035.79	1.30%
8	8 Inch	1,490.50		1,509.85	1.30%
9	10 Inch	2,143.03		2,170.85	1.30%
8					
9	Effluent Rate (per, 1000 gallons)	\$ 0.68	\$	0.6900	1.47%
10	Effluent Rate (per, acre foot gallons)	221.58		224.84	
11					
12					

¹³ N/T = No Tariff

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District

Changes in Representative Rate Schedules Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Exhibit

Supplemental Schedule H-3

Page 2

Witness: Radigan

Line		Р	resent	Р	roposed
No.	Other Service Charges		Rates		Rates
1	Establishment	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
2	Establishment (After Hours)	\$	40.00		remove
3	Deposit Requirement (Residential)		(a)		(a)
4	Deposit Requirement (Non Residential Meter)		(a)		(a)
5	Deposit Interest		(b)		(b)
6	Re-Establishment (With-in 12 Months)		(c)		(c)
7	Re-Establishment (After Hours)		(c)		(c)
8	NSF Check	\$	15.00	\$	25.00
9	Deferred Payment, Per Month	1	1.50%		1.50%
10	After hours service charge, per Rule R14-2-603D		efer to Above	\$	40.00
		C	harges		
11	Late Charge per month		1.5%		1.5%
12	Service Line Connection Charge	\$	350.00	\$	350.00
13	Main Extension Tariff, per Rule R14-2-606B		Cost		Cost
14	except refunds shall be based upon five percent (5%) of				
15	gross revenues from bonafide customers,				
16	until all advances are fully refunded to Developer.				
17 18	Off-site Facilities Hook-up Fee (See H-3, page 3)		(d)		(d)

19

- 20 (a) Residential two times the estimated average monthly bill. Non-residential two and one-half times the estimated maximum monthly bill.
 - 2 (b) Interest per Rule R14-2-603(B).
- 23 (c) Minimum charge times number of full months off the system. per Rule R14-2-603(D).
- (d) New wastewater installations. May be assessed only once per parcel, service connection, or lot within a sub division. Purpose is to equitably apportion the costs of constructing additional off-site facilities.

26 27 28

28 29 30

31 IN ADDITION TO THE COLLECTION OF REGULAR RATES, THE UTILITY WILL COLLECT FROM

- ITS CUSTOMERS A PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF ANY PRIVILEGE, SALES, USE, AND FRANCHISE
- 33 TAX. PER COMMISSION RULE 14-2-608D(5).
- 34 ALL ADVANCES AND/OR CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TO INCLUDE LABOR, MATERIALS, OVERHEADS,
- 35 AND ALL APPLICABLE TAXES, INCLUDING ALL GROSS-UP TAXES FOR INCOME TAXES, IF APPLICABLE. 36
- 37 All advances and/or contributions are to include labor, materials and parts, overheads and all applicable taxes.
- 38 including all gross-up taxes, if applicable.

EPCOR Arizona, Inc. - San Tan Wastewater District

Test Year Ended August 31, 2019 Hook-Up Fees Exhibit

Suplemental Schedule H-3

Page 3

Witness: Radigan

Line <u>No.</u>				
1				
2	Off-site Facilities Hook-up Fee			
3	-			
4			Present	Proposed
5	Lateral Service		<u>Charge</u>	<u>Charge</u>
6	4 Inch	:	\$ 3,900	\$ 3,900
7	6 Inch		8,775	8,775
8	8 Inch or greater		15,600	15,600
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18	REFERENCE			
19	Decision 77507 (December 17, 2019)			
20				
21				
22 23				
23 24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				